**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**

**CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

(1) CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.331 OF 2007
IN
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.2492 OF 2005

Pramod Balu Vishe. ..Applicant.
Versus
State of Maharashtra. ..Respondent.
..
Mr.N.N.Gavankar, Adv. with Mr.Arfan Sait, Adv. for the Applicant.
Mr.V.B.Konde-Deshmukh, APP, for the State.
..

...
ALONG WITH
(2) CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.332 OF 2007
IN
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.2492 OF 2005

Sandeep Mangal Tarmale. ..Applicant.
Versus
State of Maharashtra. ..Respondent.
..
Mr.N.N.Gavankar, Adv. with Mr.Arfan Sait, Adv. for the Applicant.
Mrs.A.S.Pai, APP, for the State.
..
...
ALONG WITH
(3) CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.333 OF 2007
IN
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.2492 OF 2005

Sopan Walku Bhoir. ..Applicant.
Versus
State of Maharashtra. ..Respondent.
..
Mr.N.N.Gavankar, Adv. with Mr.Arfan Sait, Adv. for the Applicant.
Mr.V.B.Konde-Deshmukh, APP, for the State.
..

...
ALONG WITH
(4) CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.346 OF 2007

IN
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.3315 OF 2005

Kaluram Mangal Tarmale. ..Applicant.
Versus
State of Maharashtra. ..Respondent.
..
Mr.N.N.Gavankar, Adv. with Mr.Arfan Sait, Adv. for the Applicant.
Mrs.A.S.Pai, APP, for the State.
..

...

ALONG WITH
(5) CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.347 OF 2007
IN
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.4006 OF 2005

Kamlakar & Kamlya Balu Vishe. ..Applicant.
Versus
State of Maharashtra. ..Respondent.
..
Mr.N.N.Gavankar, Adv. with Mr.Arfan Sait, Adv. for the Applicant.
Mr.V.B.Konde-Deshmukh, APP, for the State.
..

...

ALONG WITH
(6) CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.348 OF 2007
IN
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.4708 OF 2005

Balram Kachru Bhoir. ..Applicant.
Versus
State of Maharashtra. ..Respondent.
..
Mr.N.N.Gavankar, Adv. with Mr.Arfan Sait, Adv. for the Applicant.
Mrs.A.S.Pai, APP, for the State.
..

...

ALONG WITH
(7) CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.350 OF 2007
IN
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.2784 OF 2005

Raghunath Kachru Bhoir. ..Applicant.
Versus
State of Maharashtra. ..Respondent.
..
Mr.N.N.Gavankar, Adv. with Mr.Arfan Sait, Adv.

for the Applicant.
Mr.V.B.Konde-Deshmukh, APP, for the State.
..
...
ALONG WITH
(8) CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.354 OF 2007
IN
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.2963 OF 2005

Ashok Krishna Bhoir. ..Applicant.
Versus
State of Maharashtra. ..Respondent.
..
Mr.N.N.Gavankar, Adv. with Mr.Arfan Sait, Adv.
for the Applicant.
Mrs.A.S.Pai, APP, for the State.
..
...
ALONG WITH
(9) CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.378 OF 2007
IN
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.2491 OF 2005

Eknath Shivram Pitambare. ..Applicant.
Versus
State of Maharashtra. ..Respondent.
..
Mr.N.N.Gavankar, Adv. with Mr.Arfan Sait, Adv.
for the Applicant.
Mr.V.B.Konde-Deshmukh, APP, for the State.
..
...
ALONG WITH
(10) CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.379 OF 2007
IN
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.2491 OF 2005

Jaywant Baliram Bhoir. ..Applicant.
Versus
State of Maharashtra. ..Respondent.
..
Mr.N.N.Gavankar, Adv. with Mr.Arfan Sait, Adv.
for the Applicant.
Mrs.A.S.Pai, APP, for the State.
..
...
ALONG WITH
(11) CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.380 OF 2007
IN
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.2491 OF 2005

Appa Tukaram Bhoir. ..Applicant.

Versus
State of Maharashtra. ..Respondent.
..
Mr.N.N.Gavankar, Adv. with Mr.Arfan Sait, Adv. for the Applicant.
Mr.V.B.Konde-Deshmukh, APP, for the State.
..

...

ALONG WITH
(12) CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.381 OF 2007
IN
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.2435 OF 2005

Santosh Kachru Bhoir. ..Applicant.
Versus
State of Maharashtra. ..Respondent.
..
Mr.N.N.Gavankar, Adv. with Mr.Arfan Sait, Adv. for the Applicant.
Mrs.A.S.Pai, APP, for the State.
..

...

ALONG WITH
(13) CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.382 OF 2007
IN
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.2435 OF 2005

Barku Surkrya Bhoir. ..Applicant.
Versus
State of Maharashtra. ..Respondent.
..
Mr.N.N.Gavankar, Adv. with Mr.Arfan Sait, Adv. for the Applicant.
Mr.A.S.Shitole, APP, for the State.
..

...

ALONG WITH
(14) CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.395 OF 2007
IN
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.2435 OF 2005

Shripat Kachru Bhoir. ..Applicant.
Versus
State of Maharashtra. ..Respondent.
..
Mr.N.N.Gavankar, Adv. with Mr.Arfan Sait, Adv. for the Applicant.
Mr.A.S.Shitole,APP, for the State.
..

...

ALONG WITH
(15) CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.397 OF 2007
IN
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.2435 OF 2005

Dilip Krishna Bhoir. ..Applicant.
Versus
State of Maharashtra. ..Respondent.
..
Mr.N.N.Gavankar, Adv. with Mr.Arfan Sait, Adv. for the Applicant.
Mr.A.S.Shitole,APP, for the State.
..
...
ALONG WITH
(16) CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.398 OF 2007
IN
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.2491 OF 2005

Vishwanath Keru Pitambare. ..Applicant.
Versus
State of Maharashtra. ..Respondent.
..
Mr.N.N.Gavankar, Adv. with Mr.Arfan Sait, Adv. for the Applicant.
Mrs.A.S.Pai,APP, for the State.
..
**CORAM : SMT.V.K.TAHILRAMANI,J.**
**DATED : OCTOBER 25, 2007.**

P.C.:

1. Heard the learned Advocate for the applicants and the learned APP for the State in all the above matters. The applicants were granted bail in C.R.No.I-96 of 2004 of Kalyan Taluka Police Station by this Court.

2. When bail was granted, one of the conditions imposed on the applicants was that they shall not enter Thane District during the pendency of the trial except for attending the Court cases on the specified dates. Thereafter, by orders

dated 23.6.2006 and 29.6.2006, the applicants were allowed to enter Thane District for a period of sixty days. Thereafter, pursuant to various orders passed by this Court, the applicants were granted relaxation of the said condition till 31.3.2007. Now the applicants are seeking that the said condition may be deleted.

3. Mr.Gavankar, the learned advocate for the applicants states that during this period viz. from June 2006 till 31.3.2007, when the condition imposed of not entering Thane District, was relaxed no untoward incident has been reported. He, therefore, prays that the said condition be relaxed. As of today, the learned APP could not point out any untoward incident during the said period in relation to the applicants.

4. In this view of the matter, condition that "the applicants shall not enter into Thane District" is relaxed. On account of the said condition being relaxed, the applicants shall report to Kalyan Taluka Police Station henceforth till further orders. Rest of the conditions mentioned in the bail orders i.e. dated 26.4.2005, 13.6.2005, 15.7.2005, 1.8.2005,

29.4.2005, 4.5.2005, 20.4.2005, 12.4.2005 are maintained.

5. Applications are disposed of accordingly.

**(SMT.V.K.TAHILRAMANI,J.)**